

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,475	03/26/2004	Michael W. Frauens	H10317/DPS	9797
1333 EASTMAN K	7590 08/11/200 ODAK COMPANY	EXAMINER		
PATENT LEC	GAL STAFF	LABOMBARD, RUTH NAOMI		
343 STATE S ROCHESTER	TREET NY 14650-2201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TOCHEN TENÇT TOO 2201			2852	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/810,475	FRAUENS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
RUTH N. LABOMBARD	2852	

	RUTH N. LABOMBARD	2852	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CER 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office are greated any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extention Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the companion of the companion	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. I for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/David M Gray/			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2852

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed August 6, 2009 have been fully condidered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues, "the Kaukeinen et al. reference shows a distribution to the first part of the receiver transport path." Contrary to Applicant's argument the invention of Kaukeinen et al. appears to be drawn to the particular arrangement of a rotating magnetic ore in a developing device and appears to be silent about the necessity of the alignment of the toning stations. Applicant frar argues "the Desie et al. reference can in no way be said to teach multiple offset toning stations." Contrary to Applicant's argument Desie et al. disclose multiple overlapping areas where toner is applied and therefore disclose multiple stations; and stations. Such argument argument argument against the references individually, one cannot show nonobiousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.24 413, 208 LSPQ 871 (CFQA 1981): In reference & co. 80 F.24 1913, 129 J. USPQ 375 (Fed. Ci. 1988).